



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/010,959	11/30/2001	Mark Muhlestein	67272-8128.US02	5673
77042	7590	10/10/2008		
Perkins Coie LLP				
P.O. Box 1208				
Seattle, WA 98111-1208				
EXAMINER				
KHOSHINOODI, NADIA				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2437				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
10/10/2008		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/010,959

**Applicant(s)**

MUHLESTEIN, MARK

**Examiner**

NADIA KHOSHNOODI

**Art Unit**

2437

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) NADIA KHOSHNOODI.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) MR. JORDAN BECKER.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 02 October 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative)

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 76 and 77.

Identification of prior art discussed: Smithson et al, US Patent No. 6,802,012.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants Representative, Mr. Jordan Becker, and Examiner discussed possible amendments to work into the claimed subject matter in order to distinguish over the prior arts of record. Mr. Becker suggested various limitations from pages 21-23 of Applicants specification that may possibly overcome the prior art of record. Examiner will review the amendments once filed in a formal response.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Nadia Khoshnoodi/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2437